

# Correcting gene specific dye bias

Philip Lijnzaad<sup>1</sup> and Thanasis Margaritis<sup>2</sup>

May 11, 2023

1. <p.lijnzaad@umcutrecht.nl>  
2. <a.margaritis@umcutrecht.nl>  
Dept. of Molecular Cancer Research  
University Medical Center (UMC)  
Universiteitsweg 100  
3584 CG Utrecht  
The Netherlands

*This vignette is not complete, since it takes too long to compute, download, or render (owing to the lack of bitmaps). The text “Figure omitted” is shown in such cases. The complete version, `dyebiasCompleteVignette.pdf`, can be found in the documentation folder of your installation.*

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Installing</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Tuteja et al. (2008)</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Reading the data . . . . .	3
3.2	Estimating the <i>iGSDB</i> . . . . .	8
3.3	Applying the dye bias correction . . . . .	10
3.4	Plotting the data . . . . .	12
<b>4</b>	<b>Chen et al. (2007)</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Reading the data . . . . .	16
4.2	Estimating the <i>iGSDB</i> . . . . .	18
4.3	Reading the rest of the data . . . . .	18
4.4	Applying the dye bias correction . . . . .	19
4.5	Plotting the data . . . . .	19
<b>5</b>	<b>Closing remarks</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>sessionInfo</b>	<b>22</b>

## 1 Overview

This document gives a brief tutorial on how to use the `dyebias` package to correct for gene-specific dye bias of two-colour microarray data using the GASSCO method by Margaritis et al. (2009).

A well-known artefact of two-colour microarrays is the intensity-dependent dye bias, which is usually adequately addressed by using LOESS normalization. However, gene-specific dye bias is different, and persists after LOESS normalization. Using dye swaps helps, but is not sufficient, as the bias firstly wastes statistical power, but more importantly, may leave residual bias since dye-swaps need not have the same degree of dye bias in both hybridizations of the dye swapped pair.

Gene-specific dye bias is ubiquitous, and often quite large. The GASSCO method implemented in the **dyebias** package efficiently solves the problem in a general and robust way. The principle behind the method – which can equally well be called a normalization method – is very simple. We observed that the total dye bias varies not only with each gene (or, more precisely, each probe or reporter), but also with each hybridization, in an apparently linear fashion. The formula is simply

$$\beta_{ij} = F_j * \gamma_i$$

where  $\beta_{ij}$  is the total dye bias of reporter  $i$  in hybridization  $j$ ;  $F_j$  is the estimated *slide bias* (more precisely: the hybridization bias), and  $\gamma_i$  is the so-called *intrinsic gene specific dye bias*, or briefly *iGSDB* (again: *gene* is a misnomer; *probe* or *reporter* is more precise).

It turns out that the *iGSDB* depends on the protocols and somewhat on the average expression levels, but the largest factor is the reporter sequence. The slide bias depends largely on the labeling percentage (Margaritis et al., 2009). Although the *iGSDBs* can be predicted from the reporter sequence (see Margaritis et al. (2009), Supplemental Discussion), it is easier and more precise to estimate it from the data. All that is needed is either a number of self-self hybridizations, or a number of experiments that have been done in dye-swap (also known as dye-flip or fluor-flip). If needed, estimated *iGSDBs* can be reused, provided the protocols have not changed. The genes having the strongest *iGSDBs* are used to estimate the slide bias.

The steps to perform dye bias correction are, then,

1. estimate the intrinsic gene specific dye bias using a number of self-self or dye-swapped slides
2. for each slide, estimate the slide bias, and apply the correction

The **dyebias** package provides functions to do both, and also has some plotting routines to judge the correction. The package has been tested on a wide variety of public data (see Margaritis et al. (2009)), and has been in active production in our own lab since over a year.

For simple examples of how the **dyebias** package can be used to correct dyebias, just run the `example()`s for any of the functions in the package. In particular, try `example(dyebias.rgplot)`, `example(dyebias.boxplot)` and `example(dyebias.trendplot)`. They make use of our own validation data, which is provided by the **dyebiasexamples** package, and which can be installed through Bioconductor similarly. The current document presents two more case studies.

## 2 Installing

If you are reading this document and have not yet installed any software on your computer, visit <http://bioconductor.org> and follow the instructions for installing R and Bioconductor. Once you have installed both R and Bioconductor, install the **dyebias** package with

```
if (!requireNamespace("BiocManager", quietly=TRUE))
  install.packages("BiocManager")
BiocManager::install("dyebias")
```

Alternatively, under Windows, select **Packages** from the menu and click on **Install package(s)** from **Bioconductor...**, and select **dyebias** from the pop-up. Under Linux/Unix you can use the usual command `R CMD INSTALL` or set the option `CRAN` to your nearest mirror site and use the command `install.packages` from within an R session. The latest version of the **dyebias** package can always be found at [http://www.holstegelab.nl/publications/margaritis\\_lijnzaad](http://www.holstegelab.nl/publications/margaritis_lijnzaad).

Note: if you run R CMD check on this package, it will complain with `dyebias.apply.correction: no visible global function definition for 'maR<-'` (nor for `'maG<-'`). You can safely ignore this message.

### 3 Tuteja et al. (2008)

The first example uses data from Tuteja et al. (2008), a genome-wide location analysis of the transcription factor Foxa2, which is important in liver development. The ChIP on chip data comes from five self-spotted mouse cDNA slides.

The data consist of 5 slides, which means that there is not an equal number of slides in both dye orientations. We call this an *unbalanced design*. An unbalanced design is actually very uncommon; most designs should be, and are, balanced. We chose this example to illustrate the use of an unbalanced design<sup>1</sup>.

#### 3.1 Reading the data

The data is deposited in Array Express (Parkinson et al., 2007) under accession M-TAB-32, but for convenience, the data is provided through the `dyebiasexamples` package. We need the `marray` package (Yang et al., 2007) for the data model, `convert` for converting, and of course `dyebias` and `dyebiasexamples`:

```
> library(marray)
> library(dyebias)
> library(dyebiasexamples)
> library(convert)
> options(stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
```

The Tuteja data itself is not in the `data` directory, since it doesn't adhere to the R standards. Instead, it was put in the `extradata` directory. Find it:

```
> datadir <- system.file("extradata", package="dyebiasexamples")
> datadir
```

```
[1] "/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata"
```

The sample file contains descriptions of the hybridizations; read it and convert to a proper `marrayInfo` object:

```
> sample.file <- file.path(datadir, "Tuteja-samples.txt")
> targets <- read.marrayInfo(sample.file)
> summary(targets)
```

Object of class `marrayInfo`.

	maLabels	slide	filename	Cy3	Cy5
1		1	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029399.txt	input	FoxA2
2		2	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029407.txt	input	FoxA2
3		3	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029415.txt	FoxA2	input
4		4	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029423.txt	FoxA2	input
5		5	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029431.txt	input	FoxA2

Number of labels: 5

Dimensions of maInfo matrix: 5 rows by 4 columns

Notes:

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/Tuteja-

>

---

<sup>1</sup>In the future, we may remove this functionality, and replace this example.

It shows that we have five hybridizations, all of them FoxA2-enriched versus input material. Three of them have the dyes in the “forward” direction, two in the “reverse” direction, detailing the unbalancedness mentioned before. First prepare the layout information (this was gleaned from the original submission):

```
> layout <- read.marrayLayout(fname=NULL, ngr=12, ngc=4, nsr=22, nsc=19)
> n.spots <- maNspots(layout)
> summary(layout)
```

Array layout:                    Object of class marrayLayout.

```
Total number of spots:                    20064
Dimensions of grid matrix:                12 rows by 4 cols
Dimensions of spot matrices:              22 rows by 19 cols
```

Currently working with a subset of 20064spots.

Control spots:

Notes on layout:

No Input File

>

Read the gene information from the first of the data files. The only thing really needed by the `dyebias` package is the `reporterId` column for the `maInfo(maGnames(data))`, but it doesn’t hurt to include `control.type` and `reporter.group`. The latter is used to set the `maControls`. These are not needed, but `marray` complains otherwise, so we might as well supply the information.

```
> first.file <- file.path(datadir, maInfo(targets)$filename[1])
> first.file
```

```
[1] "/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-1"
```

```
> gnames <- read.marrayInfo(first.file, info.id=c(7,8,10), labels=10, skip=0)
> names(maInfo(gnames)) <- c("control.type", "reporter.group", "reporterId")
> maInfo(gnames)$reporterId <- as.character(maInfo(gnames)$reporterId)
> ##### Following is not really needed (it makes the
> ### ``"Controls are generated from an arbitaray columns\n"'' message go way
>
> controls <- maInfo(gnames)$reporter.group
> controls [ controls == "Experimental"] <- "gene"
> maControls(layout) <- as.factor(controls)
> summary(gnames)
```

Object of class marrayInfo.

	maLabels	control.type	reporter.group	reporterId
1	P101020		Experimental	P101020
2	P101770		Experimental	P101770
3	P102903		Experimental	P102903
4	P103743		Experimental	P103743
5	P104397		Experimental	P104397
6	P104943		Experimental	P104943

```

7   P105309          Experimental   P105309
8   P106233          Experimental   P106233
9   P203560          Experimental   P203560
10  P202765          Experimental   P202765
...

```

Number of labels: 20064

Dimensions of maInfo matrix: 20064 rows by 3 columns

Notes:

```

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-

```

```
>
```

Next, we read the data. In the examples in this document, we assume that the public data is properly normalized; that is really the starting point for GASSCO. If the data is not (properly) normalized, results will be suboptimal (as we will see). In the Tuteja data set both 'raw' and normalized data are available. This is good, because the raw data has foreground as well as background intensities; the latter allow us to better judge the quality of the spots. We therefore read both, starting with the raw data:

```

> data.raw <- read.GenePix(fnames = maInfo(targets)$filename,
                           path = datadir,
                           name.Gf = "GenePix:F532 Median",
                           name.Gb = "GenePix:B532 Median",
                           name.Rf = "GenePix:F635 Median",
                           name.Rb = "GenePix:B635 Median",
                           name.W = "GenePix:Flags",
                           layout = layout,
                           gnames = gnames,
                           targets = targets,
                           skip=0, sep = "\t", quote = "'", DEBUG=TRUE)

```

```

[1] "E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029399.txt" "E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029407.txt"
[3] "E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029415.txt" "E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029423.txt"
[5] "E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029431.txt"

```

```

Control   gene
  2174    17890

```

Setting up controls status ... done

```

Calling read.marrayRaw ...

```

```

Reading ... /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extra
Reading ... /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extra
Reading ... /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extra
Reading ... /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extra
Reading ... /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extra

```

```
>
```

The weights in the file use a different convention, so convert them to the marray convention, which is `weight == 0` for bad spots, `weight == 1` for good spots. (Bad spots are never used as estimator genes, and you could also decide not to dye bias-correct them). Also attach the layout to the `data.raw` object, and show what we have:

```

> maW(data.raw)[ maW(data.raw) == 0] <- 1
> maW(data.raw)[ maW(data.raw) < 0] <- 0
> maLayout(data.raw) <- layout
> summary(data.raw)

```

Pre-normalization intensity data: Object of class marrayRaw.

Number of arrays: 5 arrays.

A) Layout of spots on the array:

Array layout: Object of class marrayLayout.

Total number of spots: 20064

Dimensions of grid matrix: 12 rows by 4 cols

Dimensions of spot matrices: 22 rows by 19 cols

Currently working with a subset of 20064spots.

Control spots:

There are 2 types of controls :

Control	gene
2174	17890

Notes on layout:

No Input File

B) Samples hybridized to the array:

Object of class marrayInfo.

	maLabels	slide	filename	Cy3	Cy5
1	1	1	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029399.txt	input	FoxA2
2	2	2	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029407.txt	input	FoxA2
3	3	3	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029415.txt	FoxA2	input
4	4	4	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029423.txt	FoxA2	input
5	5	5	E-MTAB-32-raw-data-1641029431.txt	input	FoxA2

Number of labels: 5

Dimensions of maInfo matrix: 5 rows by 4 columns

Notes:

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/Tuteja-

C) Summary statistics for log-ratio distribution:

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-3

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-3

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-3

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-3

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-3

```

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32

/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86_64/Resources/library/dyebiasexamples/extradata/E-MTAB-32

```

D) Notes on intensity data:  
GenePix Data

```
>
```

Let's now read the normalized data. As a short-cut, we will use `data.raw` as a template (using `as()` from the `convert` package), and then only replace the actual values.

```

> normalized.data.file <- file.path(datadir, "E-MTAB-32-processed-data-1641029599.txt")
> data <- read.table(normalized.data.file, sep="\t", as.is=T, header=T, skip=1)
> names(data) <- c("reporterId", "X13685041", "X13685040" , "X13685153" ,"X13685151" ,"X13685042")
> ## get rid of overly long gene names:
> data$reporterId <- sub(pattern="ebi.ac.uk:MIAMExpress:Reporter:A-MEXP-1165\\.(P[0-9]+) .*",
  replacement="\\1", x=data$reporterId, perl=T, fixed=F)
> data$reporterId <- sub(pattern="ebi.ac.uk:MIAMExpress:Reporter:A-MEXP-1165\\.Blank.*",
  replacement="Blank", x=data$reporterId, perl=T, fixed=F)

```

```

> data.norm <- as(data.raw,"marrayNorm")
> ## now replace the actual data:
> m <- as.matrix(data[,c(2:6)])
> n <- as.numeric(m)
> dim(n) <- dim(m)
> maM(data.norm) <- n
>

```

In most cases we have seen, authors have deposited their data such that the dye swaps have been undone so that the slides all seem to be in the “forward” direction. (The reason is that you can then more easily compare the treatment vs. reference behaviour of a set of slides, regardless of dye orientation.

However, here we are interested only in the dye effect itself, that is, in the effect of  $\log_2(Cy5/Cy3)$ , *not* in the difference of FoxA2-enriched over input material. We therefore have to reswap the undone dye swaps.<sup>2</sup>

```

> maM(data.norm)[,c(3,4)] <- -1 *maM(data.norm)[,c(3,4)]
>

```

A, the average intensity of both channels, is not yet set, so compute it here:

```

> maA(data.norm) <- log2( maRf(data.raw) * maGf(data.raw) ) / 2
>

```

Note that the code does not really need **A**; the correction is based solely on **M**. The **A** is not always available; some labs publish only the **M**-values. In such cases, it is fine to “invent” an **A**, e.g. by sampling from a uniform distribution between 2 and 15 (which is the typical domain of **A**). The advantage of such fake data is that the plotting routines in the *dyebias* package continue to work.

### 3.2 Estimating the *iGSDB*

We now have a full-fledged *marrayNorm* object that we can use to estimate the *iGSDBs* from.

As mentioned before, the design is unbalanced. If your design is like this, be sure you really want it to be unbalanced. For unbalanced designs, the *dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs* function uses *limma* (Smyth, 2005) to obtain estimates for the intrinsic gene specific dye biases. In the current data set, the **reference** is the sample called **input** (see *maInfo(maTargets(data.norm))*). The call is therefore:

```

> iGSDBs.estimated <- dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs(data.norm,
                                                is.balanced=FALSE,
                                                reference="input",
                                                verbose=TRUE)

[1] "Estimating the intrinsic gene-specific dyebiases ..."
[1] "Found 5 slides containing 20064 spots"
[1] "Found 20064 spots, 19297 of them unique. Averaging replicate spots ...\\n"
[1] "Done averaging replicate spots"
[1] "Found common-reference design"
Found unique target names:
FoxA2 input

```

---

<sup>2</sup>Whether the columns have been deposited the right way around is often not clear from the description. Sometimes it can be inferred from the general behaviour of the data. E.g., in the case of knock-down data, the knocked-down gene should be down in one hybridization, up in the other; in ChIP data, the ChIP-enriched sample generally should go up relative to the input sample and is therefore visible, in an MA-plot, as a cloud of points with a flattish bottom and a bulging top.



```

[1] "Design\n"
      FoxA2 Dye
1      1      1
2      1      1
3     -1      1
4     -1      1
5      1      1
[1] "fitting linear model ..."
[1] "intrinsic GSDB's:\n"
      Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.    NA's
-0.96800 -0.06700  0.00400 -0.00651  0.06400  0.85200    100
[1] "Done estimating the intrinsic gene-specific dyebiases."

> summary(iGSDBs.estimated)

reporterId      dyebias              A              p.value
Length:19297    Min.      :-0.96800    Min.      : 6.580    Min.      :0.00000
Class :character 1st Qu.: -0.06700    1st Qu.: 7.780    1st Qu.:0.06153
Mode  :character Median : 0.00400    Median : 8.510    Median :0.24282
              Mean  :-0.00651    Mean  : 8.704    Mean  :0.33240
              3rd Qu.: 0.06400    3rd Qu.: 9.500    3rd Qu.:0.56491
              Max.   : 0.85200    Max.   :14.930    Max.   :1.00000
              NA's   :100          NA's   :100

```

This took a little while, mostly because a full Limma model has to be run for this unbalanced design<sup>3</sup>. If the design is balanced, the estimate is done by simply averaging. This gives identical results (and no  $p$ -values, but they are not needed anyway), but is much faster.

Let's have a quick look at the distribution of the iGSDBs:

```

> hist(iGSDBs.estimated$dyebias, breaks=50)
>

```

---

<sup>3</sup>Passing `is.balanced=FALSE` to the `dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs`-function will be made invalid in the future.

## Histogram of iGSDBs.estimated\$dyebias



In our own lab, the iGSDBs generally have a distribution that is roughly symmetrical, with a mean slightly below zero, and a standard deviation of around 0.2. The data shown here shows is fairly similar.

### 3.3 Applying the dye bias correction

Before applying the correction, we need to choose which genes (probes/reporters!) are good enough to base the slide bias estimate on. We call them the estimator genes. Generally, we discard reporters that are likely to have a high biological variability. Generally, reporters not representing genes, and those corresponding to mitochondrial genes, transposons, cross-hybridizing and non-unique oligo sequences are therefore discarded. In the current case, we know nothing about the reporters, so we only insist that they correspond to genes; that group is called **Experimental**:

```
> estimator.subset <- (maInfo(maGnames(data.norm))$reporter.group=="Experimental")
> summary(estimator.subset)

  Mode   FALSE    TRUE 
logical  2174   17890 
>
```

A convenience function is provided to get an index for the spots that are trustworthy estimator genes. The current data set does have background signals, so we specify `use.background=TRUE`. (If background

signals would not have been available, we should have specified `use.background=FALSE`, which causes the minimum foreground signal to be used as a proxy for the background signal).

We now specify which spots we would like to dye bias-correct. Generally it is best to correct all spots. However, we have noticed that for signals that are too high or too low, over-correction can occur. Signals that are too high are due to saturation of the scanner, whereas those that are too low may be too noisy due to differences in background signal. We want to be a bit more selective, only correcting genes where we are certain that we have a trustworthy signal. We therefore insist that the weight of the spot is 1, and that they lie in the right range. Here, we choose a signal-to-noise ratio (here defined as foreground over background signal)  $\geq 1.5$  and an average expression  $A \leq 15$ .

```
> application.subset <- ((maW(data.norm)==1)
  & dyebias.application.subset(data.raw=data.raw,
    min.SNR=1.5,
    maxA=15,
    use.background=TRUE)
  )
> summary(as.vector(application.subset))
```

```
Mode    FALSE    TRUE
logical 22927   77393
```

```
>
```

We're now set to apply the correction, simply as:

```
> correction <- dyebias.apply.correction(data.norm=data.norm,
  iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
  estimator.subset=estimator.subset,
  application.subset=application.subset,
  verbose=TRUE)
```

```
[1] "Average log2(signal) for estimator genes: min=6.58, max=14.93\nExcluding those outside 7.88 < A < 9.5\n"
[1] "Top 5 % reporters (20064 spots; 17890 of them candidates, 9037 of them in the right range, 8887 both)\n"
[1] "Dyebias correcting slide 1 (1)...\n"
[1] "Estimated slide factor\n: green based: 0.453385, red based: 0.725926\nAverage correction 0.595881\n"
[1] "Excluding 5015 out of 20064 spots from dyebias correction\n"
[1] "variance ratio (i.e. corrected/uncorrected): 0.853\nOverall reduction in variance is 14.7 % (p=3.26e-05)\n"
[1] "Done correcting slide 1 (1)\n"
[1] "Dyebias correcting slide 2 (2)...\n"
[1] "Estimated slide factor\n: green based: 0.74759, red based: 1.16495\nAverage correction 0.906978\n"
[1] "Excluding 4356 out of 20064 spots from dyebias correction\n"
[1] "variance ratio (i.e. corrected/uncorrected): 0.803\nOverall reduction in variance is 19.7 % (p=8.63e-05)\n"
[1] "Done correcting slide 2 (2)\n"
[1] "Dyebias correcting slide 3 (3)...\n"
[1] "Estimated slide factor\n: green based: 1.97027, red based: 1.09978\nAverage correction 1.42472\n"
[1] "Excluding 4392 out of 20064 spots from dyebias correction\n"
[1] "variance ratio (i.e. corrected/uncorrected): 0.513\nOverall reduction in variance is 48.7 % (p=0)\n"
[1] "Done correcting slide 3 (3)\n"
[1] "Dyebias correcting slide 4 (4)...\n"
[1] "Estimated slide factor\n: green based: 1.02166, red based: 1.00505\nAverage correction 1.00697\n"
[1] "Excluding 4019 out of 20064 spots from dyebias correction\n"
[1] "variance ratio (i.e. corrected/uncorrected): 0.612\nOverall reduction in variance is 38.8 % (p=1.62e-05)\n"
[1] "Done correcting slide 4 (4)\n"
```

```

[1] "Dyebias correcting slide 5 (5)...\n"
[1] "Estimated slide factor\n: green based: 0.537208, red based: 0.866387\nAverage correction 0.658936\n"
[1] "Excluding 5145 out of 20064 spots from dyebias correction\n"
[1] "variance ratio (i.e. corrected/uncorrected): 0.869\nOverall reduction in variance is 13.1 % (p=1.44e-17)\n"
[1] "Done correcting slide 5 (5)\n"
[1] "Done dyebias-correcting the slides. Summary of the variance-reduction percentages:\n"
  Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
13.08  14.67   19.72   26.99  38.77   48.70

```

The `correction` object contains the complete results. It is a `list` with 4 members: `data.corrected`, the corrected data in `marrayNorm` format; `estimators`, which contains the estimators and is used by the plotting routines; `summary`, a `data.frame` with statistics concerning the dye bias correction, and lastly (for convenience) `data.uncorrected`, the input data. For the summary, the most useful ones are probably `avg.correction`, `var.ratio`, `reduction.perc` and `p.value`:

```

> correction$summary[,c("slide", "avg.correction", "var.ratio", "reduction.perc", "p.value")]
  slide avg.correction var.ratio reduction.perc      p.value
1     1      0.5958807 0.8533423      14.66577 3.258380e-22
2     2      0.9069777 0.8027678      19.72322 8.629579e-43
3     3      1.4247242 0.5130254      48.69746 0.000000e+00
4     4      1.0069697 0.6123230      38.76770 1.618568e-208
5     5      0.6589363 0.8691899      13.08101 1.437463e-17
>

```

`slide` (or alternatively `file`) identifies the slide; `avg.correction` is the slide bias; `var.ratio` shows the reduction in the variance of  $M$  that was achieved by the correction; `reduction.perc` showing the same, but as a percentage. Lastly, `p.value` indicates the significance of the reduction in variance ( $H_0$ : variances before and after correction are equal).

It can be seen that slide 3 had the strongest dye bias. Its variance of  $M$  is hugely reduced, by 50%.

### 3.4 Plotting the data

Let us look at how the correction worked out for one particular slide of this set, say number 3, the one with the strongest slide bias. We first plot the uncorrected data, then the corrected data. In both cases, we colour the strongest 5% green-biased and red-biased spots accordingly (that is why the `iGSDBs`-argument is required).

There will be an overlap between the estimator genes and those now coloured red and green. However, the estimator genes only come from the “middle” of the data set (as specified by the default value of the `minmaxA.perc`-argument; see the documentation).

```

> layout(matrix(1:2, nrow=1,ncol=2))
> dyebias.rgplot(data=data.norm,
  slide=3,
  iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
  application.subset=application.subset,
  main="uncorrected",
  cex=0.2,
  cex.lab=0.8,
  cex.main=0.8,
  output=NULL)
> dyebias.rgplot(data=correction$data.corrected,

```

```

slide=3,
iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
application.subset=application.subset,
main="corrected",
cex=0.2,
cex.lab=0.8,
cex.main=0.8,
output=NULL)

```

>



In the uncorrected slide, there is a clear separation between the genes with a strong red bias, and those with a strong green bias. After correction, this separation has disappeared, and the overall spread around the diagonal is much reduced. As can be seen from the off-diagonal lines (representing a two-fold change), some 20 spots appear to have been down by more than two-fold down just as a result of gene-specific dye bias.

How did the procedure perform for all slides? This is best seen using the `dyebias.boxplot` function. It is called twice, once with the uncorrected, once with the corrected data. For clarity, we prefer to order the slides by increasing slide bias (of the uncorrected data), hence the `order` argument:

```

> layout(matrix(1:2, nrow=1,ncol=2))
> order <- dyebias.boxplot(data=data.norm,

```

```

    iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
    order=NULL,
    ylim=c(-1,1),
    application.subset=application.subset,
    main="uncorrected",
    cex=0.2,
    cex.lab=0.8,
    cex.main=0.8,
    output=NULL
  )
> order <- dyebias.boxplot(data=correction$data.corrected,
    iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
    order=order,
    ylim=c(-1,1),
    application.subset=application.subset,
    main="corrected",
    cex=0.2,
    cex.lab=0.8,
    cex.main=0.8,
    output=NULL
  )
>

```



If the red and green boxes coincide, this is a sign that the bias was removed successfully, and without introducing other biases. This is clearly the case here. Although in general there is no correlation between slide bias and residual bias after correction, slide number 3 has both the largest slide bias and the largest residual bias. One could consider throwing this hybridization out.

In the above, we have restricted the dyebias correction and the plots to spots that had good quality, by insisting on a weight of 1, good signal-to-noise and no saturation. If you would plot all spots (by leaving out the `application.subset` argument), you would get a fairly large residual dye bias. The reason is that this data set has a high proportion of spots that we chose not to correct: around 15% is due to low quality spots, and around 25% due to too low or too high intensity. The solution is to play with the `application.subset` to get more spots corrected; this is left as an exercise to the reader.

## 4 Chen et al. (2007)

The next example is based on genome-wide location data of Orc6, which plays a role in DNA replication initiation (Chen et al., 2007). The data is available from NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (Barrett et al., 2007) under accession GSE9318. It consists of two sets of Agilent slides: 4 done on platform GPL3499, and 9 slides done on platform GPL5991. Only the latter set has proper dye swaps, and these will be used to estimate the intrinsic gene-specific dye bias (iGSDB). These estimates are subsequently used to correct all 9 GPL5991 slides.

## 4.1 Reading the data

We will try and download the data directly from GEO using the `GEOquery` (Davis and Meltzer, 2007)). The downloading and the subsequent parsing takes a long while, so we'll first see if we already have the `.RData` file (derived from the `.soft` file), if not, prompt for downloading it to the current directory, and then parse the file and save it as an R data dump. We need the full `.soft`-file, since we want to have all the data, not just the M-values.

```
> library(GEOquery)
> library(marray)
> library(limma)
> library(dyebias)
> library(dyebiasexamples)
> options(stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
> gse.id <- "GSE9318"
> ## dir <- system.file("data", package = "dyebias")
> dir <- getwd()
> if (! file.exists(dir)) {
+   stop(sprintf("could not find directory '%s' (to write GSE9318 data to/from)", dir))
+ }
> file.RData <- sprintf("%s/%s.RData", dir, gse.id)
> if( file.exists(file.RData) ) {
+   cat(sprintf("Loading existing data from %s\n", file.RData))
+   load(file.RData)
+ } else {
+   if ( interactive() ) {
+     if (readline(prompt=sprintf("Could not find file %s.\nDo you want me to download the data from\n",
+                               file.RData)) != "y")
+       stop("Exiting")
+   }
+   cat("Downloading .soft file from GEO ...\n")
+   file.soft <- getGEOfile(gse.id, destdir=dir, amount="full")
+   cat("done\nParsing .soft file ...\n")
+   gse <- getGEO(filename=file.soft)
+   cat(sprintf("done\nSaving to %s ... ", file.RData))
+   save.image(file.RData)
+   cat("done\n")
+ }
>
```

This data uses the `GEOquery` classes, and this has to be converted to `marrayNorm` and `marrayRaw` objects, since that is what the `dyebias` package uses. The `dyebias.geo2marray`-function in the `dyebiasexamples` package is provided for that.

The data set consists of slides done on two platforms. The only hybridizations that were done in dye-swap on the same platform are on platform `GPL5991`. These slides are `GSM237352`, `GSM237353`, `GSM237354` and `GSM237355`, and we will use them to estimate the iGSDBs. We subsequently use this estimate to correct all the slides done the `GPL5991` platform<sup>4</sup>.

The reporter label is found under the `ProbeName`-column, and probes (reporters) corresponding to genes look like `A_75_P01000003`; this is used for the `gene.selector`-function.

---

<sup>4</sup>The slides done on the other platform (`GPL3499`) in this data set may or may not benefit from dye bias correction using iGSDB estimates from the `GPL5991` slides; this is left as an exercise to the reader.



Since the normalized data does not contain A-values, we calculate (approximate) them from the raw data. The columns etc. are as indicated. You generally have to be careful with this; use e.g. `Columns((GSMList(gse))[[1]])` to see what they are, and also plot them to be sure about the dye orientation.

```
> ## following slides are used to obtain iGSDb estimates:
> slide.ids.est <- c( "GSM237352", "GSM237353", "GSM237354", "GSM237355" )
> ## column names:
> cy3.name <- "label_ch1"
> cy5.name <- "label_ch2"
> ## function to recognize 'genes':
> gene.selector <- function(table){grep("^A_75_", as.character(table[["ProbeName"]]))}
> reporterid.name <- "ProbeName"
> M.name <- "VALUE" #normalized
> Gf.name <- "Cy3" #raw
> Gb.name <- "Cy3_Background"
> Rf.name <- "Cy5" #raw
> Rb.name <- "Cy3_Background" # yes! (error in the data: Cy5_Background == Cy5 ...)
> ## convert the raw data ...
> data.raw.est <-
  dyebias.geo2marray(gse=gse,
                     slide.ids=slide.ids.est,
                     type="raw",
                     gene.selector=gene.selector,
                     reporterid.name=reporterid.name,
                     cy3.name=cy3.name,
                     cy5.name=cy5.name,
                     Rf.name=Rf.name,
                     Gf.name=Gf.name,
                     Rb.name=Rb.name,
                     Gb.name=Gb.name,
                     )
> ## ... and the normalized data:
> data.norm.est <-
  dyebias.geo2marray(gse=gse,
                     slide.ids=slide.ids.est,
                     type="norm",
                     gene.selector=gene.selector,
                     reporterid.name=reporterid.name,
                     cy3.name=cy3.name,
                     cy5.name=cy5.name,
                     M.name=M.name
                     )
> ## maA was not set; do that here:
> maA(data.norm.est) <- log2( maRf(data.raw.est) * maGf(data.raw.est) ) / 2
> ## unswap the swapped dye-swaps:
> maM(data.norm.est)[, c(2,4)] <- - maM(data.norm.est)[, c(2,4)]
>
```

There is one thing that is not quite right with the current data: the contents of the Cy3- and Cy5-columns are wrong:

```
> maInfo(maTargets(data.norm.est))
```

>

## 4.2 Estimating the *iGSDB*

The current design is balanced, so the dyebias is simply the average of the raw *M*. However, to show how the “set of pairwise designs” estimation works, we will show what is needed (but not use it). A bit of sleuthing shows that what is actually needed is the following:

```
> info <- maInfo(maTargets(data.norm.est))
> info$Cy3 <- c("wt", "wt IP", "td", "td IP" )
> info$Cy5 <- c("wt IP", "wt", "td IP", "td")
> maInfo(maTargets(data.norm.est)) <- info
> references <- c("wt", "td")
>
> ### The estimation would then be run as:
> ###
> ### iGSDBs.estimated <- dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs(data.norm.est, is.balanced=FALSE,
> ###                                     reference=references, verbose=TRUE)
>
```

That is, we have one dye-swapped pair of IP vs. input material for the wild type, and one dye-swapped pair for the temperature-sensitive degtron mutant. In other words, we have a set of pairwise designs. If `dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs` were to use LIMMA (which is necessary for unbalanced designs, but not necessary and not used in the current case), then it has to know what the references are in each case; hence the `references <- c("wt", "td")` assignment. It also has to be able to figure out which “experimental” (i.e., non-reference) sample goes with which reference. Therefore, they all have to start with the name of their respective reference sample (see the documentation). Having said that, we will ignore the `references` argument for now, and estimate the *iGSDBs* using averaging, simply as:

```
> iGSDBs.estimated <- dyebias.estimate.iGSDBs(data.norm.est, is.balanced=TRUE, verbose=TRUE)
> summary(iGSDBs.estimated)
>
```

## 4.3 Reading the rest of the data

We now have an estimate of the intrinsic gene specific dye biases, and we can use it to correct all the hybridizations done on platform GPL5991. However, that is not yet converted to `marray` objects, so do that first, and also make sure `A` is set and the dye swaps are swapped back:

```
> subset <- sapply(GSMList(gse), function(x){Meta(x)$platform_id}) == "GPL5991"
> slide.ids.all <- sapply(GSMList(gse), function(x){Meta(x)$geo_accession})[subset]
> data.raw.all <-
  dyebias.geo2marray(gse=gse,
                     slide.ids=slide.ids.all,
                     type="raw",
                     gene.selector=gene.selector,
                     reporterid.name=reporterid.name,
                     cy3.name=cy3.name,
                     cy5.name=cy5.name,
                     Rf.name=Rf.name,
                     Gf.name=Gf.name,
                     Rb.name=Rb.name,
                     Gb.name=Gb.name,
```

```

    )
> data.norm.all <-
    dyebias.geo2marray(gse=gse,
                      slide.ids=slide.ids.all,
                      type="norm",
                      gene.selector=gene.selector,
                      reporterid.name=reporterid.name,
                      cy3.name=cy3.name,
                      cy5.name=cy5.name,
                      M.name=M.name
    )
> maA(data.norm.all) <- log2( maRf(data.raw.all) * maGf(data.raw.all) ) / 2
> swap <- c(1,2,3,5, 7,8,9)
> maM(data.norm.all)[, swap] <- - maM(data.norm.all)[, swap]
>

```

## 4.4 Applying the dye bias correction

As before, we will exclude some spots, based on their intensity, from dye bias correction. The data set does have its own backgrounds, but we know little about the suitability of the spots to act as estimators of the slide bias, so we'll set `estimator.subset=T`. This means that no spot is excluded from being an estimator, apart from the second condition, which is that they have an average signal `A` falling within the interquartile range (see the documentation of `dyebias.apply.correction`).

```

> application.subset <- ( (maW(data.norm.all) == 1) &
    dyebias.application.subset(data.raw=data.raw.all,
                              min.SNR=1.5,
                              maxA=15,
                              use.background=TRUE)
    )
> correction <- dyebias.apply.correction(data.norm=data.norm.all,
    iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
    estimator.subset=T,
    application.subset=application.subset,
    verbose=FALSE)
> correction$summary[,c("slide", "avg.correction", "var.ratio", "reduction.perc", "p.value")]
>

```

## 4.5 Plotting the data

Some people prefer MA-plots over RG-plots, so let's see how the dyebias correction turned out for slide 6, which had the greatest bias:

```

> layout(matrix(1:2, nrow=1,ncol=2))
> dyebias.maplot(data=data.norm.all,
    slide=6,
    iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
    application.subset=application.subset,
    main="uncorrected",
    cex=0.2,
    cex.lab=0.8,
    cex.main=0.8,

```

```

        output=NULL)
> dyebias.maplot(data=correction$data.corrected,
        slide=6,
        iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
        application.subset=application.subset,
        main="corrected",
        cex=0.2,
        cex.lab=0.8,
        cex.main=0.8,
        output=NULL)

```

*Figure omitted*

The difference between the uncorrected and corrected data is quite big. The strongly red-biased spots had an average two-fold change, which, after correction, turns out to have been spurious. A number of strongly green-biased spots appear depleted before correction, but may actually have been enriched in the immunoprecipitated samples after correction.

What is also visible is that the data is not properly normalized. The left panel shows a cloud whose bottom has a the downward-sloping trend frequently found in ChIP-on-chip data. This should not be present in normalized data<sup>5</sup>. The dye bias correction procedure tries to also correct this ChIP-on-chip artifact, resulting in suboptimal behaviour (see below).

To get a graphical overview of all corrections, `dyebias.boxplot` could be used, but another function is provided that can show to what extent the data supports our claim that the total dye bias is the product of the slide bias and the intrinsic bias. We order all slides by the slide bias, and plot each spot. However, the number of spots is too large to judge whether their behaviour is linear. The function `dyebias.trendplot` therefore bins all probes by their intrinsic bias, and plots the median of each bin for each slide:

```

> layout(matrix(1:2, nrow=1,ncol=2))
> order <- dyebias.trendplot(data=data.norm.all,
        iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
        application.subset=application.subset,
        order=NULL,
        lwd=0.1,
        ylim=c(-0.5,0.5),
        output=NULL,
        main="uncorrected")
> order <- dyebias.trendplot(data=correction$data.corrected,
        iGSDBs=iGSDBs.estimated,
        application.subset=application.subset,
        order=order,
        lwd=0.1,
        ylim=c(-0.5,0.5),
        output=NULL,
        main="corrected")
>

```

*Figure omitted*

---

<sup>5</sup>We did not renormalise this data as the point of this document is to demonstrate dye bias correction of existing, normalized data.

The method does a good job, although usually the results are even better, with all lines hovering around  $M = 0$  after the correction. We attribute this behaviour to the fact that the data is poorly normalized, as mentioned before. This affects the iGSDB estimates, which in turn impacts the correction of the rest of the data. The overall variance, however, is clearly reduced.

## 5 Closing remarks

So far, we have not found a data set that does not benefit from GASSCO. The code has been in continuous use in our laboratory since early 2008, totalling hundreds of hybridizations. In our experience, the code and the estimates are very robust, which we attribute to the use of medians and large samples wherever appropriate.

The quality of dye bias correction with GASSCO depends on the accuracy of the iGSDB estimate. This, in turn, depends on having a representative data set of self-self and/or dye-swapped hybridizations. In our experience, a set of around 12 hybridizations is optimal. However, even as few as four hybridizations can result in good dye bias correction, as witnessed by the examples given here, and also by the `data.norm` data set used throughout the `example()` code of the `dyebias` package.

Make sure the data is properly normalized, and that the dye orientation is the same as in the original hybridizations (that is, do not swap them back).

Lastly, we appreciate all feedback.

## References

- T. Barrett, D. Troup, S. Wilhite, P. Ledoux, D. Rudnev, C. Evangelista, I. Kim, A. Soboleva, M. Tomashovsky, and R. Edga. NCBI GEO: mining tens of millions of expression profiles—database and tools update. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35:D760–D765, 2007. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl887.
- S. Chen, M. de Vries, and S. Bell. Orc6 is required for dynamic recruitment of Cdt1 during repeated Mcm2-7 loading. *Genes Dev.*, 21:2897–2907, 2007. doi: 10.1101/gad.1596807.
- S. Davis and P. Meltzer. GEOquery: a bridge between the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and BioConductor. *Bioinformatics*, 23:1846–1847, 2007. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm254.
- T. Margaritis, P. Lijnzaad, D. van Leenen, D. Bouwmeester, P. Kemmeren, S. van Hooff, and F. Holstege. Adaptable gene-specific dye bias correction for two-channel DNA microarrays. *Molecular Systems Biology*, 5:266, 2009. doi: 10.1038/msb.2009.21.
- H. Parkinson, M. Kapushesky, M. Shojatalab, N. Abeygunawardena, R. Coulson, A. Farne, E. Holloway, N. Kolesnykov, P. Lilja, M. Lukk, R. Mani, T. Rayner, A. Sharma, E. William, U. Sarkans, and A. Brazma. ArrayExpress – public database of microarray experiments and gene expression profiles. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35:D747–D750, 2007. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl995.
- G. K. Smyth. *Limma: linear models for microarray data*, pages 397–420. Springer, New York, 2005.
- G. Tuteja, S. Jensen, P. White, and K. KH. Cis-regulatory modules in the mammalian liver: composition depends on strength of Foxa2 consensus site. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 36:4149–4157, 2008. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn366.
- Y. H. Yang, A. Paquet, and S. Dudoit. *marray: Exploratory analysis for two-color spotted microarray data*, 2007. URL <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/jeany/>. R package version 1.20.0.

## 6 sessionInfo

- R version 4.3.0 RC (2023-04-13 r84257), x86\_64-apple-darwin20
- Locale: C/en\_US.UTF-8/en\_US.UTF-8/C/en\_US.UTF-8/en\_US.UTF-8
- Time zone: America/New\_York
- TZcode source: internal
- Running under: macOS Monterey 12.6.4
- Matrix products: default
- BLAS:  
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/lib/libRblas.0.dylib
- LAPACK:  
/Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-x86\_64/Resources/lib/libRlapack.dylib ;  
LAPACK version3.11.0
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, stats, utils
- Other packages: Biobase 2.60.0, BiocGenerics 0.46.0, GEOquery 2.68.0, convert 1.76.0,  
dyebias 1.60.0, dyebiasexamples 1.40.0, limma 3.56.1, marray 1.78.0
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): R6 2.5.1, cli 3.6.1, compiler 4.3.0, data.table 1.14.8,  
dplyr 1.1.2, fansi 1.0.4, generics 0.1.3, glue 1.6.2, hms 1.1.3, lifecycle 1.0.3, magrittr 2.0.3, pillar 1.9.0,  
pkgconfig 2.0.3, purrr 1.0.1, readr 2.1.4, rlang 1.1.1, tibble 3.2.1, tidyr 1.3.0, tidyselect 1.2.0,  
tools 4.3.0, tzdb 0.3.0, utf8 1.2.3, vctrs 0.6.2, xml2 1.3.4